(3)

CR-1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1214/2003

PETITIONER : Rajaram Sahu, Son of Sriram Prasad, aged about 32 years, Occupation : Agriculture, Resident of Village - Budar, Post - Budar, Tahsil - Baikunthpur, Distt : Koriya (C G).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Revenue Department (C G). RAIPUR.
2. The Collector, Koriya (C G)
3. The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Tahsil - Baikunthpur, Distt : Koriya (C G).

P. R. No. 1125305
Presented by Shri A. N. Pandey
dated 09.01.03

RECEIVED COPY
BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(31)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. No. 1214/2003

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

03.07.2003

Shri Ashok Kumar Shukla, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri D.R. Agarwal, Dy. Adv. General for the State.

Heard.

The petitioner was working with the Revenue Department as a casual 4th Class employee (Process Server) at Baikunthpur. The grievance of the writ petitioner is that instead of regularizing him, his services have been terminated by the respondents authorities and other persons who are appointed as casual worker have been regularized. Aggrieved therewith the petitioner has made a representation (Annexure P-6) to the Collector, which having not been considered the petitioner has approached this Court for relief.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioners to make a detailed representation before the competent

(37)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. 1214/2003

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

authority along with a copy of the petition, within a month from today, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority shall decide the same in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of production of representation. If any adverse order is passed the petitioners may challenge the same in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A.No.3389/2003 for urgent hearing also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per Rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Roshan
Vatti

GLPRJ—FS/78 —9/2000 —50,000.